FOR MYSORE STATE

Home (194) Department

KE SERIES

G.O. NO. 3318

Dated 10 - 11 - 54

Passed.

Sundt

[Despatch Abstract.]

L.H.Q. No. 45. (Starred) by Sri K. Venkataramana Gowda M.L.H. — Information regarding the formation a new taluk in South Kanara after the introduction of the Scheme of Separation Question answered Papers Recorded

LEGISLATURE (ASSEMBLY) SECRETARIAT.

1-2-1954.

STARRED

SRI K. VENKATARAMANA GOWDA, M.L.A.

HOME DEPARTMENT.

*Q.No. ~~1998.~~ 645

Will the Hon. the Minister for Law be pleased to state:

(a) when do the Government propose to introduce the "seperation" scheme in South Kanara district;

(b) whether ~~this~~ the proposal to introduce the Scheme of separation of the Judiciary from the Executive in South Kanara district includes formation of a separate taluk for Beltangady area in ~~South Kanara~~ the District; and

(c) if so, what are the firkas included in the new taluk and where the headquarters of the taluk and the judiciary are to be located?

KBSM/1-2.

L 188 11 CS I/S-4] 9.2.54

LEGISLATURE (ASSEMBLY) SECRETARIAT.

1-2-1954.

STARRED

SRI K. VENKATARAMANA GOWDA, M.L.A.

HOME DEPARTMENT.

*Q.No. ~~1998~~ 45

Will the Hon. the Minister for Law be pleased to state:

(a) when do the Government propose to introduce the "sepe-ration" scheme in South Kanara district;

(b) ~~whether this~~ whether the proposal to introduce the scheme of separation of the Judiciary from the Executive in South Kanara district includes the formation of a separate taluk for Beltangady area in the ~~South Kanara~~ district; and

(c) if so, what are the firkas included in the new taluk and where the head-quarters of the taluk and the judiciary are to be located?

KBSM/1-2.

Home (CS I) Dept.

Advance copy of the L.A.Q. circulated to M(Law).

8.2.54

8/2/54.

M (Law)

K.D. Kuriakose
11-2-54



(C.No. 18811/54)

Home (Cts.I)Dept.

MADRAS LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY QUESTION (STARRED)

by Sri K.Venkataramana Gowda, M.L.A.

*Q.No.45.

Question.	Answer.
Will the Hon.the Minister for Law be pleased to state: (a) whether the proposal to introduce the Scheme of Separation of the Judiciary from the Executive in South Kanara district includes the formation of a separate taluk for Beltangady area in the district; and (b) if so, what are the firkas included in the new taluk and where the headquarters of the taluk and the judiciary are to be located?	Items (a) and (b): The proposal ~~already finalised~~ for the introduction of the Scheme of Separation in the South Kanara District formulated previously do not ~~include~~ envisage the formation of a separate taluk for Beltangady area. The question whether any modifications ~~in~~ to the proposals ~~already settled~~ are necessary will be ~~is under the~~ examined by consideration of the Government. Shortly.

To
The Secretary, Madras Legislature,
Assembly Section (5 copies)
The P.A. to M.(Law)
The Public (Elec) Dept.
s/cs.

Secy no 18811 Ch I/54-3 dt 1-3-54

Note for Supplementaries

Madras Legislative Assembly Question No.45-
Starred - By Sri K.Venkataramana Gowda, M.L.A.

Sri K. Venkataramana Gowda. M.L.A., desires to be informed

(a) whether the proposal to introduce the Scheme of Separation of the Judiciary from the Executive in South Kanara district includes the formation of a separate taluk for Beltangady area in the district; and

(b) if so, what are the firkas included in the new taluk and where the headquarters of the taluk and the judiciary are to be located?

Items(a) and (b) above: The proposals already settled for the introduction of the Scheme of Separation in the South Kanara district in so far as the revenue jurisdiction in that district is concerned do not include the formation of a separate taluk for Belthangady area. Belthangady area is a sub-taluk in Puttur taluk under the separate charge of a Deputy Tahsildar. This will get abolished under the proposals already finalised ~~if~~ when the Scheme is introduced in the district, and this area will be merged with the main taluk of Puttur. For purposes of magisteria jurisdiction of the Second Class, this area will be under the Sub Magistrate at Puttur, and as regards Ist class cases it will be under the jurisdiction of the Sub Divisional Magistrate at Puttur. When the Committee of Enquiry into the working of the Scheme of Separation toured the South Kanara district in September 1952 last. it considered inter alia the question whether any and if so what changes were necessary in the proposals already finalised for the introduction of the Scheme in this district both with respect to revenue and magisterial jurisdiction and suggested certain modifications to the proposals already settled. ~~It is proposed to refer~~

~~These modifications will be examined by~~ the examination of this to the High Power Committee consisting of a Judge of the High Court and a Member of the Board of Revenue ~~to be~~ if one is constituted. (The constitution of such a Committee has been referred to the ~~Cabinet for decision at its next meeting.~~ ~~held on 17.2.54~~) In the circumstances, the M.L.A. may be informed that the proposals already settled for the introduction of the scheme of Separation in the South Kanara district do not include the formation of a separate taluk for Belthangady area and that the question whether any changes are necessary in the proposals already settled both with respect to revenue and magisterial jurisdiction ~~are under consideration~~ will be examined by of the Government.

13/4/54

13/4/54

15/4/54

15.4.54

~~N.B~~ As proposal of the High Court for the Constitution of a high power Committee to examine preliminary ~~disputes has~~ been negatived by the Cabinet the suggestions of the Collector will be examined in consultation with the High Court & the Board of Rev

4/4/54

MADRAS LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY QUESTION NO.45(STARRED)

by

Sri K. Venkataramana Gowda, M.L.A.

Question.

Will the Hon'ble the Minister for Law be pleased to state--

(a) whether the proposal to introduce the Scheme of Separation of the Judiciary from the Executive in South Kanara district includes the formation of a separate taluk for Belthangady area in the district; and

(b) if so, what are the firkas included in the new taluk and where the headquarters of the taluk and the judiciary are to be located?

Home Department.

Items (a) and (b): The proposals for the introduction of the Scheme of Separation in the South Kanara District formulated previously do not envisage the formation of a separate taluk for Belthangady area. The question whether any modifications to these proposals are necessary will be examined by the Government shortly.

for SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

(The above answer has been approved by Sri K.P. Kattikrishnan Nair, Minister for Law and Sri C. Rajagopalachari, Chief Minister).

To

The Secretary, Madras Legislature(Assembly Section)5 copies.
The Personal Assistant to Minister (Law) (with encl)
The Public (Elections) Department.

Home U.O.18011 Cts.I/54-3, Dated 1-3-1954.

NOTE FOR SUPPLEMENTARIES.

MADRAS LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY QUESTION NO.45 -
Starred - By Sri K. Venkataraman Gowda, M.L.A.

Sri K. Venkataramana Gowda, M.L.A., desires to be informed--

(a) whether the proposal to introduce the scheme of Separation of the Judiciary from the Executive in South Kanara district includes the formation of a separate taluk for Belthangady area in the district; and

(b) if so, what are the firkas included in the new taluk and where the headquarters of the taluk and the judiciary are to be located?

Items (a) and (b) above: The proposals already settled for the introduction of the Scheme of Separation in the South Kanara district in so far as the revenue jurisdiction in that district is concerned do not include the formation of a separate taluk for Belthangady area. Belthangady area is a sub-taluk in Puttur taluk under the separate charge of a Deputy Tahsildar. This will get abolished under the proposals already finalised when the Scheme is introduced in the district; and this area will be merged with the main taluk of Puttur. For purposes of magisterial jurisdiction of the Second class, this area will be under the Sub Magistrate at Puttur, and as regards Ist Class cases it will be under the jurisdiction of the Sub Divisional Magistrate at Puttur. When the Committee of Enquiry into the working of the Scheme of Separation toured the South Kanara district in September 1952 last, it considered inter alia the question whether any and if so what changes were necessary in the proposals already finalised for the introduction of the Scheme in this district both with respect to revenue and magisterial jurisdiction and suggested certain modifications to the proposals

contd...

already settled. As proposal of the High Court for the constitution of a High Power Committee to examine preliminary details has been negatived by the Cabinet the suggestions of the Collector will be examined in consultation with the High Court and the Board of Revenue. In the circumstances, the M.L.A. may be informed that the proposals already settled for the introduction of the Scheme of Separation in the South Kanara district do not include the formation of a separate taluk for Belthangady area and that the question whether any and if so, what changes are necessary in the proposals already settled both with respect to revenue and magisterial jurisdiction will be examined by the Government.

S.B.
15/2/54.

T.N.S.R.
15/2/54.

****

K. VENKATARAMANA GOWDA, M.L.A.
ADVOCATE, PUTTUR.
SOUTH KANARA

15

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY
MADRAS

Madras

Dated 3 1954.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVT.
54
HOME DEPT

18611

Sir

The question regarding separation of the Judiciary from the Executive which I gave notice of sometime back has not been answered and I request you to kindly furnish answer in the present Assembly

Thanking You,

Yours Sincerely

K. Venkataramanagowda
M.L.A.

21811

hx 18611 — b3/54

Home

Please send a reply

K.P. Kiran
5.3.54

17

Home (Cis I) Dept.

[8811 Cis I/54]

Please see the letter from Sri K. Venkataramana Gowda, M.L.A., on p.1 ante. The question referred to by the Member is in respect of the formation of a separate taluk for Belthangady area in South Kanara District when the Scheme of Separation of the Judiciary from the Executive is introduced in that district on 1.7.54. The question has been admitted in the Assembly as Question No. 4.5 (Starred) and the answer thereto has already been furnished to the Assembly on 1.3.54. This will in the normal course be included in the List of questions for oral answers to be answered in the Assembly when it meets on the 12th March. The concerned file is with H(Law). In the circumstances, ~~it is for orders whether~~ a reply to the MLA ~~need be sent~~ may be sent after ascertaining whether the question comes up for answer in the next week.

In L.H&C file No. 1881 I/54 with H(Law)

hb 9/3/54

9/3/54

hb 10/3/54

A draft T.M. to the Secy Legislative Sectt., is submitted for approval.

hb 10/3/54

10/3/54

10/3/54

Since the T.M. was approved it was learnt from the P.A. to M(Law) that the above L.A.Q. has been included in the list of questions for oral answers in the Assembly on 12.3.54. In the circumstances it does not appear necessary to send a reply to MLA as the only unstarred L.A.Q. to be answered by M(Law) during the present session. ~~May be lodged. In orders.~~ (The printed list from the Assembly will probably be received in this dept. in to-day's tappal). (Has since been received.)

~~M~~ not issued

And added to the file relating to the L.A.Q.

hb 11/3/54

11/3/54.

11/3

Formation of a separate taluk for Belthangady area in South Kanara.

97 Q.—SRI Y. MANJAYA SHETTY (on behalf of Sri K. Venkatramana Gowda): Will the Hon. the Minister for Law be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the proposal to introduce the scheme of Separation of the Judiciary from the Executive in South Kanara district includes the formation of a separate taluk for Belthangady area in the district; and

(*b*) if so, what are the firkas included in the new taluk and where the headquarters of the taluk and the judiciary are to be located?

THE HON. SRI K. P. KUTTIKRISHNAN NAIR:—"(*a*) & (*b*) The proposals for the introduction of the scheme of Separation in the South Kanara district formulated previously do not envisage the formation of a separate taluk for Belthangady area. The question whether any modifications to these proposals are necessary will be examined by the Government shortly."

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
6 - FEB 1954
HOME DEPARTMENT

Madras Legis. 1-3—8,006—28-6-52.

SECRETARIAT OF THE MADRAS LEGISLATURE,
GOVERNMENT ESTATE, MOUNT ROAD.
Madras, dated the 5/2/1954

Q 18811 6-2-54

QUESTION No. 45 for the meeting of the Legislative Assembly, ~~to be held on the~~ ~~195-~~

Two copies of this question are forwarded to the Home Department.
~~The question has been admitted.~~

Re 5/2/54

MKL 5/2/54

To

U.O.No.1054/54-42 The Home Department.
5-2-54

Home (Cts.I)Department.

The L.A.Q. in the c.f may be perused. Advance copy of the same has been circulated to M.(Law).

Sri K. Venkatramana Gowda, M.L.A. desires to be informed--

(a) whether the proposal to introduce the Schem of Separation of the Judiciary from the Executive in South Kanara district includes the formation of a separate taluk for Beltangady area in the district; and

b) if so, what are the firkas included in the new taluk and where the headquarters of the taluk and the judiciary are to be located?

Items (a) & (b) above: Under the proposals already finalised for the introduction of the Scheme of Separation in South Kanara District in G.O.Ms.No.13, Public (Sepn) dated 3-1-1950, Beltangady sub-taluk will be abolished and included for Revenue purposes within the jurisdiction of the Tahsildar of Puttur taluk. When the Committee of

Enquiry into the working of the Scheme of Separation toured South Kanara District in September 1952 last, it considered inter alia the question whether any and if so what changes are necessary in the proposals already finalised for the introduction of the Scheme in this district. In pursuance of the oral suggestions made by the Committee to him, the Collector of South Kanara proposed that the Deputy Tahsildar's charge at Buntwal may be abolished ~~along with~~ instead of the Beltangady taluk and the area comprising these two sub-taluks may be constituted into a taluk under a Tahsildar at Beltangady with consequential changes in the Revenue jurisdiction of the R.D.Os. in the district. ~~is proposed separately~~ to constitute a High Power Committee consisting of a Judge of the High Court and a Member of the Board of Revenue ~~to advise~~ is accepted the question whether and if so what any changes are necessary, in the proposals already settled for the introduction of the Scheme in the three districts of South Kanara, Malabar and Tanjore ~~in the present altered~~ conditions ~~that may exist now. It has further proposed that the changes found necessary by the Committee of Enquiry both with respect to the revenue jurisdiction and magisterial jurisdiction in South Kanara district in the course of its tour in that district may be referred to such a Committee.~~ Hence the proposals of the Collector for the constitution of a separate taluk in South Kanara at Beltangady ~~have not been examined.~~ In the circumstances, the answer to the L.A.Q. may be as in the draft submitted below, which together with a note for supplementaries ~~which are~~ is for approval. May be circulated to M (Law). Rev. may see before circulation.

13/2/54

13/2/54

15/2/54

Rev

Home no 188 II cb 1/54
15 2 54

Revenue Dept.

To A.S.

Three A. questions on p. 1 of F., the notes of the Home Dept. on pp. 1-2 above and the draft answer below may be perused. The file may be returned to Home.

SS 18/2/54

Q 19.2.54

Q 19.2.54

DS 22/2/54

Home

Home (dis I) Dept.

Notes on pages 1-3 above:

The file may be circulated to M(Law) and the draft answer below.

It is the practice of the High Court for the constitution of a high power Committee such as is contemplated by Government. This character of enquiry does not call for any change in the draft answer already approved. This fact may however be incorporated in the note for supplementaries.

May be approved

24.2.54

24.2.54

I have worked out the note for supplementaries. This draft answer is for approval

J.N.S. Raghavan
25.2.54

M(Law) K.D. Kaicker
25-2-54

CM C Rajagopalachari
26 2 54

Qn. answered by M(Law) on 12/3/54

By Dy. Sec(Law)

1-3-54

[1881 CS 2/54]

The L.A.Q. has been answered by H(Law) on 12-3-54. The printed proceedings of the Assembly may be awaited

13/3/54

12/3/54

13/3/54

Please see the printed proceedings of the Assembly on p. 18 of ~~the~~ No further action seems called for in this file. The papers may therefore be recorded as a routine ~~G.O. to facilitate~~ for reference

7/11/56.

~~A. Sapaigalli, Hunsur, Coorg praying the~~ S.Rs J Devarayanakere and Bettadapura have been constituted with the intimation of the Govt. in their dt. vide G.O. No. H 465-A dt. 15.5.1954.

8/11/56

G.O. Rt. No. 3818 dt. 10.11.56

L.A.Q. No. 45 (Starred) by Sri K. Venkataramana Gowda M.L.A. - Information regarding the formation of a new taluk in South-Kanara after the introduction of the Scheme of Sapn. Qn. answered - Papers recorded

7/11/56.

8/11